

Warsaw University
Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

# FOREST NON-MARKET VALUATION STUDIES IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC, HUNGARY AND POLAND: A REVIEW

# Anna Bartczak

bartczak@wne.uw.edu.pl

## **Presentation structure**

- History of non-market valuation studies in the CE countries & some general information concerning forest and forestry in those countries
- An investigation of forest non-market valuation studies based on the report <u>"An instrument for</u> <u>assessing quality of environmental valuation</u> <u>studies"</u>, <u>Swedish Environmental Protection</u> <u>Agency</u>
  - TCM studies
  - CVM studies
- Conclusions



WARSAW UNIVERSITY Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

## Non-market valuation studies in the Central European Countries

- <u>History</u> beginning of non-market valuation studies in the mid 1990s
- Research centres carrying out non-market valuation studies – one or a few depending on a country
- Number of non-market valuation studies up to 18 in any particular country
- <u>CE countries with the highest number of non-market</u> valuation studies: the Czech Republic, Poland & Hungary



#### Non-market valuation studies in the Czech, Hungary & Poland\*

Country	Studies						
Country	Research areas	Methods	No.				
The Czech Repub.	air quality (2), <b>forest (2)</b> , flood protection (1), landscape (3), national park (1), waste management (1), drinking water quality (2), surface water quality (1); human health (2); VOSL (3)	BT(1); CE(1), ABM(1);CVM(13) TCM(2)	18				
Hungary	air quality (1), <b>forest (1),</b> national park (1), nature conservation-caves (1), nature conservation-Danube (1), waste management (2), water quality (4); VOSL (1)	BT(3); CVM(9); HPM(1);TCM(2)	12				
Poland	air quality (2), cultural heritage (1); <b>forest (3)</b> , national parks (2), drinking water quality (2), sea water quality (1), surface water quality (2), wetland (1), VOSL (2)	BT(1); CE(1) CVM(9); HPM(2) TCM (5)	16				

\* without VOT studies

WARSAW UNIVERSITY Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

## General information on forests and forestry in: the Czech Repub., Hungary & Poland

	Country				
Characteristics	The Czech Repub.	Hungary	Poland		
Share of forests area	33%	20%	29%		
Predominant forest type	mixed	coniferous	broadleaved		
Average age	around 60 years				
Share of public forests	84%	60%	83%		
Access to forests	unlimited and free of charge independently of the ownership structure				



Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

#### Non-market forest valuation studies in the Czech Repub., Hungary & Poland – background information (1)

- Number of surveys carried out : 6
  - Czech (2),
  - Hungarian (1),
  - Polish (3).
- Source of financing:
  - various ministries (Czech),
  - State Forest Enterprise (Poland),
  - WWF (Poland),
  - no funds (Hungary, Poland).



Warsaw University Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

#### Non-market forest valuation studies in the Czech Repub., Hungary & Poland – background information (2)

- Objects:
  - single-site (4):
    - ✤ Forest in the Jizerske hory Mountains (CR) (1)
    - ✤ Gemenc floodplain forest (Hungary) (1)
    - Białowieża primeval forest (Poland) (2)
  - multiple-site (2):
    - 10 selected forests covering various ecosystems and have different conservation regimes, ownership structures and geographical locations (Poland) – (1)
- Methods:
  - ✤ TCM (4),
  - ✤ CVM (3).



## **TCM studies: background information**

Country	THE CZECH REPUB.	POLAND			
Author	Melichar, J.	Zięzio, J.	Giergiczny,M.	Bartczak, A.	
Timing of data collection	September – October 2005	April – September 2003	April – June 2003	<ol> <li>October</li> <li>November 2005</li> </ol>	
Estimated value	1. recreational 2. $\Delta$ in a site quality (3 scenarios)	recreational	recreational	recreational	
Number of sites	1	1	1	10	
Target population	?	Poles and foreigners	all adult Poles	all adult Poles	
Frame population	forest visitors	forest visitors	forest visitors	<ol> <li>forests visitors</li> <li>representative sample of adult Poles</li> </ol>	

Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

## TCM studies: sampling & data collection

l						
Country	THE CZECH REPUBL.		POLAND			
Author	Melichar, J.	Zięzio, J.	Giergiczny M	Bartczak, A.		
Data	primary	primary	secondary	primary		
Data collection	on-site one-topic survey	on-site one-topic survey		<ol> <li>on-site one-topic survey</li> <li>respondents homes routine survey of the polling agency</li> </ol>		
Sampling	random sample of visitors	random sar	mple of visitors	<ol> <li>random sample of visitors</li> <li>quota sample representative for a country</li> </ol>		
Sample size	1. 312 2. 1248	1012	583	1.N=1002 2.N=1005		
Method of interviews	face-to-face	face-to-face		face-to-face		
Interviewers	trained students	trained students		professional polling agency		
51114 -						



## TCM studies: pre-testing & methodology

Country	THE CZECH REPUB.	POLAND			
Author	Melichar, J.	Zięzio, J.	Giergiczny,M.	Bartczak, A.	
Pre-testing	focus groups in-depth interviews pilot studies			_	
Cost components	transport, accommodation	transport, accommodation, travel time	transport, travel time*	transport, travel time*, time on site*	
Multidesti- nation trips	assumption: all trips are a one destination trips	assumption: all trips are a one destination trip	assumption: all trips are a one destination trip	stated weights to a visit in a forest	
Substitutes	travel costs to substitutes counted but not included in the v.f.	-		existence of substitutes included in the v.f (dummy variable)	

\*VOT estimated in CE or CV survay



## TCM studies: models & estimations (1)

Country	THE CZECH REPUBL.		POLAND			
Author	Melichar, J.	Zięzio, J.	Giergiczny,M.	Bartczak, A.		
Wealfare's measure	NCS	travel expenses	NCS	NCS		
Model	Single site count model. 1-truncated Poisson regression.	-	ZTCM Countinous model	Multiple Site count model. 1-truncated Poisson regression		
Valuation function (v.f.)	$\lambda_{ij} = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{ij} + \beta_2 p_{ij} + \beta_3 q_j)$ $\Pr(y_n   y_n > 0) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_n \lambda^y n - 1}}{(y_n - 1)!}$	$V = N \cdot AC$	$\lambda_{ij} = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{ij} + \beta_2 p_{ij})$	$\lambda_{ij} = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{ij} + \beta_2 p_{ij})$ $\Pr(y_n   y_n > 0) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_n \lambda^y n - 1}}{(y_n - 1)!}$		
Explanatory power of v.f.	1.log-likelihood 17 763 2. log-likelihood 64 386	-	adjusted R <sup>2</sup> =0.81	log-likelihood 39 584		
% of excluded observation		0	9% (foreign visitors)	6% (visitors with no recreational purpose of a trip and visits longer than 1 day)		

Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

# TCM studies: models & estimations (2)

Country	THE CZECH REPUB.	POLAND			
Author	Melichar, J.	Zięzio, J.	Giergiczny,M.	Bartczak, A.	
Non-visitors	-	-	Taken into account	Taken into account	
Results	<ul> <li>1)11.58 Euro per visit per visitor</li> <li>2)∆ in quality =35.25 Euro per visitor per year</li> </ul>	Total annual recreational value = <b>4.1</b> <b>mIn</b> Euro	Total annual recreational value = <b>2.9 mln</b> Euro Total recreational value = <b>73.6 mln</b> Euro (r=2%)	<u>Visitors</u> : <b>22.84</b> Euro per vist per person <u>All</u> : <b>2118</b> Euro/ha per year	
Confidence interval (c.i.) for the coefficient of the travel cost variable	1) $\beta_2$ =-0.0029 95% C.I.= [-0.0031; -0.0028] 2) $\beta_2$ =-0.0028 95% C.I.= [-0.0029; -0.0027]	_	β <sub>2</sub> =-0.00896 95% C.I.= [-0.0115; -0.0065]	β <sub>2</sub> =-0.01102 95% C.I.= [-0.0115; -0.0105]	



WARSAW UNIVERSITY Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

## **TCM studies: veryfication**

Country	THE CZECH REPUB.	POLAND			
Author	Melichar, J.	Zięzio, J.	Giergiczny,M.	Bartczak, A.	
Sensitivity analysis	NB vs. Poisson model	_	-	NB vs. Poisson model	
Validity tests	debriefing questions for respondents and interviewees	_	-	comparison with CVM results	
Non- responses	-	-		07	



Warsaw University Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

## **CVM studies: backgroung information**

Country	THE CZECH REPUB.			HUNGARY	POLAND
Author	Šišak L., Pulkráb K., Kalivoda V.			Nagypál N., Szlávik J.	Bartczak, A.
Timing of data collection	1994	1995	1996	January-April 2002	1.October 2.November 2005
Estimated value	recreational			the total economic value	recreational
Number of sites	national level			1	10
Target population	all adult Czechs			?	all adult Poles
Frame population	representative sample of adult Czechs			representative sample of local population	<ol> <li>forest visitors</li> <li>representative sample of adult Poles</li> </ol>



# **CVM studies: sampling & data collection**

Country	THE C	ZECH RE	PUB.	HUNGARY	POL	AND	
Author	Šišak L, Pulkráb K., Kalivoda V			Nagypál N., Szlávik J.	Bartcz	Bartczak, A.	
Data		primary		primary	prin	nary	
Data collection	routine survey of the polling agency Multi-topic survey			respondents homes, public places one-topic survey	2. responde routine su	<ol> <li>on-site one-topic survey</li> <li>respondents homes routine survey of the polling agency</li> </ol>	
Sampling	quota sample representative for a country			quota sample representative for a local population	<ol> <li>random s visitors</li> <li>quota sar represent country</li> </ol>	100	
Sample size	856	991	1461	300	1.N=501 2. 1005	1.N=501 2. 1005	
Method of interviews	face-to-face			face-to-face	face-to-face		
Interviewers	professio	onal polling	agency	one of the authors and her friends and relatives		sional agency	



## **CVM studies: pre-testing & scenario**

Country	THE (	CZECH REPUB.	HUNGARY	POL	AND
Author	Šišak L, Pi	ulkráb K., Kalivoda V	Nagypál N., Szlávik J.	Bartcz	zak, A.
Pre-testing		-	-	consultation forestry exp	
Scenario		_	description of current quality of the forest	presenting costs connected with keeping forests as a recreational place	
Payment vehicle	entrance fee to a private forest	entrance fee	annual payment not specified a form nor a recipient	to a loca	e fee paid al forest nent body
% of non- responses for valuation quest.	19%	0%	no information	0%	0%
% of protesters	not defined		9%	50%	38%



## **CVM studies: WTP**

Country	THE CZECH REPUB.			HUNGARY	POLAND		
Author	Šišak L, Pulkráb K., Kalivoda V			Nagypál N., Szlávik J.	Bartcz	zak, A.	
WTP/WTA	WTP			WTP	W	WTP	
Question format	С	pen-ended	d	open-ended	payment card	single bounded DC	
Protesters	-			excluded from an estimation	excluded from an estimation		
% zero WTP	68%	68%	65%	44%	20%	8%	



#### **CVM studies: models**

Country	THE CZECH REPUB.	HUNGARY	POLAND
Author	Šišak L, Pulkráb K., Kalivoda V	Nagypál N., Szlávik J.	Bartczak, A.
Method	non-parametric	non-parametric	parametric
Model	_	_	a Spike model



Warsaw University Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

### **CVM studies: results**

Country	THE CZECH REPB.			HUNGARY	POLAND	
Author	Šišak L, Pulkráb K., Kalivoda V			Nagypál N., Szlávik J.	Bartczak, A.	
Results	<b>0.09</b> Euro per person per visit	<b>0.23</b> Euro per person per visit	<b>0.95</b> Euro per person per visit	<b>12</b> Euro per person per year	<u>Visitors</u> : <b>0.72</b> Euro per person per visit (st.error 0.05) <u>All</u> : <b>64</b> Euro/ha per year	Visitors: <b>4.68</b> Euro per person per visit (st. error 0.32) <u>All</u> : <b>334</b> Euro/ha per year
% of WTP in net income	-	-	-	-	<u>Visitors</u> : 0.1%	<u>Visitors</u> : 0.7%



## **CVM studies: veryfication**

Country	CZECH	HUNGARY	POLAND		
Author	Šišak L, Pulkráb K., Kalivoda V	Nagypál N., Szlávik J.	Bartczak, A.		
Sensitivity analysis		_	minimum legal WTP: <b>0.64</b> Euro per visitor per visit	<ul> <li>non-parametric estimation (Kaplan- Meier estimator):</li> <li><b>3.56</b> Euro per visit</li> <li>-an anchoring effect: acceptance of lowest bid <b>98%</b></li> <li>acceptance of highest bid <b>33%</b></li> </ul>	
Validity tests	-	-	comparison with results achieved by TCM		
Non- <mark>responses</mark>	-	-			



# **Conclusions (1)**

- Short history of non-market valuation studies in transition economies, a small number of such studies, decision makers are not aware or not interested in carrying them out
- Most of forest non-market valuation studies did not involve the stage of testing the scenarios and questionnaires, payment vehicle or bids in CV surveys (mostly due to financial constrains).
- In some surveys a target sample has not been defined
- In the majority of TCM studies non homogeneous visits were taken into account (mixing one day visits with multi-day visits).
- Only in one case the value of time spent on the recreation site was included in the cost component.
- In the majority of TCM studies it was assumed that the trips have a single goal
- In some surveys some elementary methodological mistakes appeared such as assuming that the value of recreation equals travel expenses



Warsaw Ecological Economics Center

# **Conclusions (2)**

- The scenarios in CVM studies (if any) were not convincing or realistic. In most of those studies a payment vehicle was not precisely defined. Both of these factors caused a high number of protest answers. In one out of 3 CVM studies the share of protesters was not investigated.
- The size of the sample in two CVM studies after excluding protesters was below 500, which could influence the reliability of their findings
- In almost all studies authors avoided estimation of the total value and the problem of discounting connected with it
- All surveys suffer from lack of sufficient sensitivity and validity analysis.

